

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/885,802	Applicant(s) PECEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Kwang B. Yao	Art Unit 2667	

All Participants:

(1) Kwang B. Yao.

(2) Randall S. Vaas.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 July 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 Rejection

Claims discussed:

12-16

Prior art documents discussed:

Rinne et al. (US 6,711,141) and Norstedt (US 4,586,134)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It is noted that the references of Rinne et al. (US 6,711,141) and Norstedt (US 4,586,134) can still be applied to claim 12. Mr. Vaas agrees to cancel claim 12 and change the dependency of claims 13, 14 and 15.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)